



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/647,664

08/25/2003

Tony Mai

2037.2038-001(11067-01US-

2135

21005

7590

03/28/2007

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

530 VIRGINIA ROAD

P.O. BOX 9133

CONCORD, MA 01742-9133

EXAMINER

BAYARD, EMMANUEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

03/28/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/647,664

Applicant(s)

MAI, TONY

Examiner

Emmanuel Bayard

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

**Office Action Summary
for Applications
Under Accelerated Examination**

Application No.

10/647,664

Applicant(s)

MAI, TONY

Examiner

Emmanuel Bayard

Art Unit

2611

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Since this application has been granted special status under the accelerated examination program,
NO extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be permitted and a SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR
REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE:**

**ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER,
FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION – if this is a non-final action or a Quayle action.
(Examiner: For FINAL actions, please use PTOL-326.)**

The objective of the accelerated examination program is to complete the examination of an application within twelve months from the filing date of the application. Any reply must be filed electronically via EFS-Web so that the papers will be expeditiously processed and considered. If the reply is not filed electronically via EFS-Web, the final disposition of the application may occur later than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 3) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are pending in the application.
3a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 4) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 8) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 10) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 11) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to amendment filed on 1/16/07 in which claims 1-15 are pending. The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are moot based on the new ground of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. Claims 6 and 12 recite the limitation "the first edge" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
4. Claims 6 and 12 recite the limitation " the first edge of the reference clock is a rising edge and the edge of feedback clock is rising edge the" in lines 1 and 2. Are the two rising edges similar or different? It is unclear to the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 6, and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishiwaki U.S. Patent No 6,407,597.

As per claim 1, Ishiwaki teaches a delay locked loop (see figs. 1 and 2) comprising: a delay circuit which provides a delay to a reference clock (see figs. 1 and element CLK) to generate a feedback clock (see element RCLK), the delay circuit having a delay range (see fig.2 element 8d); a phase detector which compares phase of the reference clock and the feedback clock to change the delay of the delay circuit (see fig.2 element 8b); and an initialization circuit (see fig.1 element 10) that after reset of the delay locked loop assures that the phase detector initially changes the delay in a direction away from a first end of the delay range after receipt of one of the reference clock and feedback clock and enables a change in the delay in an opposite direction toward the first end only after receipt of one of the reference clock and feedback clock followed by receipt of the other of the reference clock and feedback clock (see col.3, lines 47-67 and col.4, lines 1-5 and col.5, lines 1-65).

As per claim 2, Ishiwaki teaches 1 wherein the first end of the delay range is a minimum delay and the direction away from the first end increases the delay and the opposite direction towards the first end decreases the delay (see col.5, lines 1-65).

As per claim 3, Ishiwaki teaches wherein the initialization circuit increases the delay after receipt of the reference clock and enables decrease in the delay only after receipt of the reference clock followed by the feedback clock (see col.5, lines 1-65).

As per claim 6, Ishiwaki teaches wherein the first edge of the reference clock is a rising edge and the edge of the feedback clock is a rising edge (see col.2, lines 33-48 and col.9, lines 55-57 and col.10, lines 10-30).

As per claims 9 and 13, Ishiwaki teaches method for initializing a delay locked

loop comprising the steps of: providing a delay to a reference clock (see fig.9 element CLKIN) to generate a feedback clock (see fig.9 element FBCLK), the delay circuit being initially set at a first end of a delay range; comparing phase of the reference clock and the feedback clock to change the delay of the delay circuit (see fig.9 element 3); after reset of the delay locked loop assuring that the delay initially be changed in a direction (see abstract and col.3, lines 60-67 and col.4, lines 5-25) away from the first end of the delay range after receipt of the reference clock; and enabling a change in the delay in an opposite direction toward the first end only after receipt of the reference clock followed by receipt of the feedback clock (see col.4, lines 57-67 and col.5, lines 53-57).

As per claim 10, Ishiwaki teaches wherein the first end of the delay range is a minimum delay and the direction away from the first end increases the delay (see col.5, lines 15-25).

As per claim 11, Ishiwaki inherently teaches further comprising the steps of: delaying enabling adjustment of the delay (see fig.2 element 8d) in the first direction until a first predetermined number of the reference clock edges are detected; and delaying enabling adjustment (see fig.2 element 8d) in the opposite direction until a second predetermined number of the reference clock edges are detected (see col.5, lines 15-25).

As per claim 12, Ishiwaki inherently teaches wherein the first edge of the reference clock is a rising edge and the edge of the feedback clock is a rising edge. Note since both the reference clock and the feedback clock are being compared in the

Art Unit: 2611

phase comparator, edge detection is inherently performed to determine the rising and falling transition in both clocks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-5, 7-8 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al U.S. Patent NO 5,994,934 in view of Ishiwaki U.S. Patent No 6,407,597.

As per claims 4 and 7, Yoshimura teaches wherein the initialization circuit comprises: a first latch responsive to the reference clock which detects a first edge of the reference clock (see fig.10 element 52) and a second latch responsive (see fig.10 element 57) to the feedback clock which detects an edge of the feedback clock (see fig.10 element FBCLK) after the first edge of the reference clock has been detected by the first latch, the input of the second latch coupled to the output of the first latch.

However Yoshimura does not teach an initialization circuit to enable change in the delay in the direction away from the first end and to enable change in the delay in the opposite direction.

Ishiwaki teaches an initialization circuit (see fig.1 element 10) to enable change in the delay in the direction away from the first end and to enable change in the

delay in the opposite direction (see col.3, lines 47-67 and col.4, lines 1-5 and col.5, lines 1-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teaching of Ishiwaki into Yoshimura et al as to detect an erroneous state and recover to a normal state quickly as taught by Ishiwaki (see abstract).

As per claim 5, Yoshimura teaches wherein the initialization circuit further comprises: a third latch (see fig.10 element 53) and a fourth latch (see fig.10 element 58). Furthermore combining the teaching of Yoshimura and Ishiwaki to perform : a third latch responsive to the reference clock which detects a next edge of the reference clock to delay enabling change in the delay in the first direction for at least one reference clock period, the input of the third latch coupled to the output of the first latch; and a fourth latch responsive to the feedback clock which detects a next edge of the feedback clock to delay the enabling of change in the delay in the opposite direction for at least one feedback clock period, the input of the fourth latch coupled to the output of the third latch would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to detect an erroneous state and recover to a normal state quickly.

As per claim 8, Yoshimura teaches wherein the phase detector comprises: a latch responsive to the reference clock to generate a first phase control signal (see fig.2 element 4U); and another latch responsive to the feedback clock to generate a second phase control signal (see fig.2 element 4D). Furthermore implementing such teaching into Ishiwaki would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to detect an erroneous state and recover to a normal state quickly.

As per claim 14, Yoshimura et al teaches phase detection circuit for comparing phase of a first and second input signals (see figs 1-2, 9 element 3) comprising: a first latch responsive (see fig.2 element 4U) to the first input signal to generate a first phase control signal; a second latch responsive (see fig.2 element 4D) to the second input signal to generate a second phase control signal; an initialization circuit (see fig.9 element 13).

However Yoshimura et al does not an initialization circuit that enables the first latch after receipt of one of the first and second input signals and enables the second latch only after receipt of the one of the first and second input signals followed by receipt of the other of the first and second input signals.

Ishiwaki an initialization circuit (see fig.1 element 10) that enables the first latch after receipt of one of the first and second input signals and enables the second latch only after receipt of the one of the first and second input signals followed by receipt of the other of the first and second input signals (see col.3, lines 47-67 and col.4, lines 1-5 and col.5, lines 1-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the teaching of Ishiwaki into Yoshimura et al as to detect an erroneous state and recover to a normal state quickly as taught by Ishiwaki (see abstract).

As per claim 15, Yoshimura and Ishiwaki in combination would teach, wherein the initialization circuit enables the first latch after receipt of a first plurality of said one of the first and second input signals and enables the second latch only after enabling the

Art Unit: 2611

first latch and the receipt of a second plurality of said other of the first and second input signals as to detect an erroneous state and recover to a normal state quickly.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is 571 272 3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:Am-4:30PM) Alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571 272 2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emmanuel Bayard
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2611

3/24/07

EMMANUEL BAYARD
PRIMARY EXAMINER